

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,255	07/10/2003	Reid M. Rubsamen	FLOW-019	3925
24353	7590 10/11/2006		EXAMINER	
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE SUITE 200			KATAKAM, SUDHAKAR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303			1621	
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 17	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/618,255	RUBSAMEN, REID M.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Sudhakar Katakam	1621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		-		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Marc</u> 2a)□ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims		J		
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) ☐ Notice of Informal F	ate		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

Art Unit: 1621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,238,491 and US Pub.No. 2002/0165608 in view of US 6,228,398 and Ansel et al (Pharmaceutical dosage forms and drug delivery systems, 7<sup>th</sup> edition).

Davidson et al (US Pat# 6,238,491) teaches manufacture of a variety of medical implants and devices, which includes orthopedic implants, specifically bone fracture plates, screws, compression hip plates and lag screws, intramedulary rods, staples, and various internal and external tissue fixation devices (col. 10, lines 51-63). It anticipates a portion of the surface of the implant can be conversion surface hardened and /or coated. Such coatings can include, but are not limited, antibiotics, pro- or anti-thrombogenic agents, anti-inflammatory agents, morphogenic proteins, morphogenic peptides, growth factors, or stem cells (col. 11, lines 5-12). Llanos et al (Pub. No. US 2002/0165608) teaches local drug medical devices are utilized to deliver therapeutic dosages of drugs, agents or compounds directly to the site where needed. The method comprises releasable affixing one or more agents in therapeutic dosages to the medical device, treating one of the medical device or the delivery device with a material for

preventing the one or more agents from separating from the medical device during delivery and implantation of the medical device at the treatment site, and loading the medical device into a delivery device ([0022] and [0023]). They anticipate surgical devices, bone pins, screws, plates etc., could provide enhanced patient benefit using this drug-device combination approach ([0040]).

Thus, medical implant or device of Davidson et al and Llanos et al read on the instant claimed a solid component having bound to a surface and hence Davidson or Llanos anticipates instant claims 1 and 36 and its depend claims.

Devane et al (US Pat# 6,228,398) teaches a multiparticulate (i.e., a plurality of discrete, or aggregated, particles, pellets, beads, granules or mixtures thereof irrespective of their size, shape or morphology) modified release composition that is operation delivers an active ingredient in a pulsed or bimodal manner (col 6 and col 7). So, this multiparticulate modified release composition having a first component comprising a first population of active ingredient containing particles and a second compound comprising a second population of active ingredient containing particles (col. 4). Devene et al also teaches that multiparticulate modified release composition of the invention may have more than two active ingredient containing compounds. Examples of active ingredients include analgesics such as fentanyl, sufentanil, butorphanol etc (col 6).

Ansel et al teaches a preparation of pharmaceutical and drug dosage forms in the form of powders or granules having various particle sizes such as coarse, fine, very fine etc. and number of methods to determine the particle size and their distribution Application/Control Number: 10/618,255 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

(pages 164-178). Ansel also teaches that there is a substantial difference in the size, morphology and size distribution of particles depending on the substance in use that can influence a variety of important factors in the delivery of drugs. Among those factors, the dissolution rate of particles is affected by micronization of drug, which can increase the rate of dissolution and its bioavailability, uniformity of distribution of drug, absorption of drugs etc. (page 170). Ansel also provides various mathematical ways to ensure the right geometry of particle size is obtained so as to achieve the desired rate.

Thus, multiparticulate modified release composition that delivers an active ingredient in a pulsed manner of **Devane et al** and preparation of pharmaceutical dosage forms in various sizes and their influence in delivery of drugs of Ansel et al anticipates instant claims.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply metal implant or device of **Davidson et al (US Pat# 6,238,491) and Llanos et al (Pub. No. US 2002/0165608)** since Devane el al and Ansel el al teaches the multiparticulate modified release composition and preparation of pharmaceutical dosage forms.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sudhakar Katakam whose telephone number is 571-272-9929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,255 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SK

THURMAN K PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600